

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as anticipated by Dujari. Applicant has amended claims 1-6 to further distinguish over the cited reference.

Dujari teaches synchronization in response to user selection of a web address. In Applicant's claims 1-6, downloading occurs *before* user selection of a web page address, or after hours when no user is operating the kiosk (Claim 2).

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as anticipated by Killian. Applicant has amended claims 1-6 to further distinguish over the cited reference.

Killian also fails to teach downloading of web content before user selection of the web content. Killian initiates downloading of performance monitoring instructions from a server in response to receipt by the server of a URL request.

Applicant has noted the prior art made of record but not relied upon.

Applicant now respectfully requests that the pending  
claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Martin  
Attorney for Applicant  
(937) 445-2990

Dayton, OH

JUN 15 2004